Indian Journal of Health Care, Medical & Pharmacy Practice Vol 5; Issue 1, Jan-Jun 2024, ISSN 2583-2069

Research Article

IMPROVING SURGICAL RESULTS: AN ESSENTIAL REVIEW OF ORTHOPAEDIC SURGERY ANTIBIOTIC PROPHYLACTIC STRATEGIES

Uttam Kumar[1], Rajesh Kumar Sharma[1], Shobhit Raj[1], Priya Rani[2]

[1]Department of Pharmacy Practice, NIMS University Rajasthan, Jaipur, India.
[2]Department of Nephrology, KMC Manipal, MAHE.

Corresponding Author*: Shobhit Raj, Department of Pharmacy Practice, NIMS University Rajasthan, Jaipur, India.

Email ID: drshobhitraj@gmail.com

DOI: https://doi.org/10.59551/IJHMP/25832069/2024.5.1.120

Received: 08 June, 2024, Decision for Acceptance: 29 June, 2024

Abstract

The likelihood of extended hospitalisation, increased healthcare expenses, and patient suffering resulting from post-orthopaedic surgical site infections (SSIs) means that the postoperative burden is still somewhat heavy. These diseases also provide a great difficulty for orthopaedic surgeons. Along with higher rates of morbidity and death, post-orthopaedic SSIs have been linked to increased sensitivity to negative health consequences. SAP, or systemic antibiotic prophylactic therapy, lowers the SSI risk. Different characteristics of closed fractures, open fractures, arthroplasty, and percutaneous fixation affect the data and antimicrobial treatment. Implementing SAP requires careful balancing of good antibiotic stewardship practices with reducing the occurrence of SSIs. This approach essentially stops antibiotic resistance from developing as well as the frequency of unpleasant effects. The aim of this study was to investigate the present body of knowledge on the use of surgical antibiotic prophylaxis in orthopaedic surgery and investigate the possible effects connected with the improper dosage of antibiotics.

Keywords: Antibiotics, Orthopaedic, Orthoplasty, Fractures.

1. Introduction & Background

In the field of contemporary medicine, orthopaedic surgery provides evidence of the remarkable advances achieved in improving the quality of life for many numbers of individuals[1]. The aim to enhance musculoskeletal health depends critically on orthopaedic surgery[1-3]. Many musculoskeletal disorders, including chronic pain from joint replacement therapy or restoring mobility through fracture repair, are treated in great part by orthopaedic surgery. Nevertheless, even with the major developments in surgical methods, the problem of surgical site infections (SSIs) still causes a great and complicated worry[2].

Although orthopaedic surgery provides certain advantages, it is advisable to thoroughly consider any drawbacks. A very alarming problem is the rise of SSIs, which can result in longer hospital stays, higher healthcare expenses, patient suffering, and occasionally major morbidity[3,4]. The perioperative care of orthopaedic patients now depends critically on the use of antibacterial prophylactic measures[4].

This procedure is carried out to lower possible infection-related risks and thereby stop possible consequences.

Aiming to reduce the risk of infection, especially at the surgical site, antibiotic prophylactic therapy is the deliberate practice of giving antibiotics before surgical operations[5]. This is taken to stop SSI from spreading to other anatomical areas. It is well known that using prophylactic antibiotics is a good way to lower bloodstream SSI occurrence. Still, the particular techniques and approaches connected to its administration are always under constant improvement[6]. When it comes to the choice of antibiotics that are most likely to be effective, medical staff members often face major questions in their search of best surgical outcomes for orthopaedic patients[7]. When would be the best for the administration to start this conversation? Given the growing concern about antibiotic resistance, it is now crucial to look for the best way to balance the possible advantages of prophylaxis against the possible drawbacks related with the evolution of antibiotic resistance.

This thorough investigation is to present a thorough evaluation of antibiotic prophylactic strategies in relation to orthopaedic surgery. The aim of this study is to evaluate recent developments, provide insights on the several complex factors influencing this vital component of perioperative treatment by means of a thorough review of the present body of knowledge. An in-depth study of antibiotic prophylactic usage in relation to orthopaedic surgery has just started. We would thus want to invite readers to look at the corpus of current research, continuing discussions, and new tendencies in this subject. Improving the safety and effectiveness of orthopaedic treatments is the common objective that drives this quest of perfection.

2. Review

2.1 Motives behind the Necessity of Antibiotic Prophylactic Measures in Orthopaedic Treatment

Often referred to as prophylactic antibiotics, antibiotic prophylaxis is the practice of administering antibiotics to patients prior to a surgical operation or other possibly infectious event[8-15]. Prophylactic antibiotics, another common name for antibiotic prophylaxis, Differentiating between treating an existing infection with antibiotics and utilising them to prevent future ones is really vital. When a high risk of a bacterial infection exists, preventive antibiotic treatment is given; so, the number of infections that do occur is decreased[9]. Their main purpose when antibiotics are given to a patient before they begin treatment or come into contact with a possibly infectious agent is to either eradicate or minimise any bacteria the body may already have[10].

A. Antibiotic Guidelines in Common Cases

Sometimes it is advised to reduce the risk of SSIs by taking antibiotics before operation. Following abdominal operations, knee replacements, and heart valve replacements[11] the probability of bacterial infection is especially great. Furthermore, rather typical in joint replacements, Antibiotics are sometimes given to people diagnosed with heart disease or who have artificial joints before dental operations, which could expose germs into the circulation[12]. Both avoidable joint infections brought on by bacteria and endocarditis, an infection of the heart lining. Sometimes patients with impaired immune systems undergoing treatment including organ transplants or chemotherapy are given prophylactic antibiotics to prevent opportunistic infections[8-12]. This is done to guard the patient against perhaps fatal diseases. Travellers may choose to begin a course of antibiotics at home as a precautionary step before heading to high-risk areas (like those where malaria is a common disease).

B. Considerations Regarding Factors

When selecting antibiotics for preventive purposes, one should consider the bacterial strains most likely to be present during the treatment or event under issue. This guarantees the right medicine is taken. What is going to be utilised are antibiotics able to destroy specific microorganisms[13]. Usually, preventive antibiotic treatment consists of one

dosage taken either before surgery or before possibly harmful bacteria are encountered. The goal is to kill or suppress any bacteria present at that moment, so treating a sickness with an antibiotic at a dosage high enough to kill or suppress any bacteria present, but not to permanently treat the infection[14].

Time will reveal how things turn out. Usually taken right before surgery[16–20], the medication is meant to provide suitable antibiotic levels in the body during the possibly harmful window before operation or exposure. This guarantees enough availability of antibiotics in the body. Physicians should take a patient's history of allergies or sensitivity to the antibiotics in issue into account when deciding which one to employ for prophylactic treatment. When there is more possibility for infection than for negative effects from the medicine, including allergic reactions, unpleasant side effects, or antibiotic resistance, preventive antibiotic treatment is applied[15]. Antibacterial prophylaxis, then, is the practice of giving antibiotics in well selected clinical settings to prevent the spread of disease. Clinical guidelines control this time-honoured medical operation since they guarantee the patients' well-being and concurrently promote the most positive effects for their health.

2.2 The Value of SSI Prevention

There are several reasons why lowering the risk of SSIs in the medical environment is crucial[1–5].

Patient welfare and safety: The patient's welfare and safety come first. Under extreme conditions, SSI can cause significant problems including longer hospital stays, increased medical treatment related expenses, and even mortality[17]. Priority one should be patient safety by avoiding SSIs.

Affordable healthcare: SSI therapy can be costly. Avoiding them relieves patients and the healthcare system of the extra financial load they bring about[18]. This could call for further hospital stays, medicine administration, changes to the initial surgical plan, and extended recovery time.

Usually, SSI results in higher lengths of stay in the hospital, which can seriously disrupt a patient's life and increase their risk of several problems connected with healthcare[19]. Avoidance of SSI results in shorter hospital stays that allow more beds for other patients. Sometimes limited are the staff members, tools, beds, and equipment accessible at hospitals and other healthcare facilities. Should SSIs be avoided, these resources will be released to be provided to patients in need of treatment. Recovering from SSIs can cause patients pain, anxiety, and a loss in quality of life. Patients who led better quality of life prior might not. If patients help to avoid SSIs, they have a greater chance of recovering easier and with less discomfort.

The usual method of controlling SSIs is the use of antibiotics. Nonetheless, it is crucial to underline that inappropriate or too high use of antibiotics might help antimicrobial-resistant bacteria to arise. Antibiotic resistance is a global issue that requires attention from all countries; one possible approach to solve this is to cut the frequency of using antibiotics to treat infections developing at surgical sites.

Reliability and confidence rise from healthcare facilities that can consistently avoid SSIs to reflect their outstanding treatment[20]. Patients who choose hospitals with lower SSI rates and trust such institutions are more likely to pay for their services, therefore increasing the income for the healthcare providers. Patients are more likely to trust and prefer hospitals with lower SSI rates.

Examining the law and our beliefs, those in the healthcare sector have ethical and moral obligations to provide safe and efficient treatment[4]. Should appropriate safety precautions not be followed to prevent SSIs, neglect of these issues could lead to ethical and legal consequences including claims of malpractice.

Preventing SSIs helps to promote research and development in the healthcare sector, which in turn advances surgical operations, infection control, and the creation of new technology and products meant to avoid SSIs[6–9].

Regarding the general health of the public: SSI has consequences[10–14]. In hospital environments, infections can have effects not just on the individual who gets them but also on the society. Stopping SSIs[15–20] will help to improve public health.

Finally, the health of patients, the ongoing economic viability of healthcare systems, and the general enhancement of the quality of healthcare depend on the avoidance of SSIs. Maintaining good sanitation, properly following infection control guidelines, giving suitable preoperative and postoperative treatment, and making sure medical professionals receive continuous education and training constitute part of this.

2.3 Recommendations and Current Policies

By advising antibiotic treatment both before and after orthopaedic operations, these guidelines seek to lower the incidence of SSIs. Policies could be prone to alter with time, thus it is crucial to check the most recent developments of these companies. The ground principles for a few notable professional associations will be summarised in the parts that follow.

A. Orthopaedic Surgeons American Academy

The American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons (AAOS) offers antibiotic prophylactic advice specifically for orthopaedic surgery. They underlined the need of giving prophylactic antibiotics one hour before cutting for the surgical operation. The choice of antibiotics to provide depends on the kind of surgery being done, the common bacterial resistance patterns in the area, and any known patient sensitivity. Two antibiotics routinely used when particular circumstances exist are cefazolin and vancomycin. Unless there are special circumstances requiring it to be given earlier, most of the time prophylaxis is administered no later than 24 hours following surgery[21].

B. Society of American Infectious Diseases

Within the framework of surgical treatments, particularly orthopaedic operations, the Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA) offers recommendations for the use of antibiotics in preventative measures. Among the other parameters the recommendations consider are the specific surgical operation, the medical history of the patient, and regional patterns in bacterial resistance. For most surgical operations affecting the musculoskeletal system, cefazolin is the preferred antibiotic[22].

C. Project Improving Surgical Care

Aiming to lower postoperative complications including SSIs, the Surgical Care Improvement Project (SCIP) is a countrywide effort. It covers the schedule and antibiotic selection that should be followed following orthopaedic surgeries. For vancomycin and fluoroquinolones or for other antibiotics, the SCIP advises that preventive antibiotics be administered either one hour or two hours following the surgical incision. The choice of antibiotics should be suitable for the surgical therapy; moreover, the length of prophylactic action should not exceed 24 hours[23].

D. Disease Control and Prevention Centers

Guidelines for lowering the risk of SSIs over a spectrum of medical environments and operations are offered by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). These suggestions for avoiding infections are quite helpful even though they do not specifically address orthopaedic surgery. Among the most crucial recommendations are those on the suitable timing of prophylactic antibiotics and considerations to take before terminating antibiotic treatment following surgical operations[24].

It is important to keep in mind that changing patterns of antibiotic resistance and recently obtained data could affect these recommendations. Furthermore, specific recommendations could vary based on the type of orthopaedic operation being done as well as on the special characteristics of the patient.

2.4 Review of Suggested Medicines and Dosages for Antibiotic Prophylaxis in Orthopaedic Surgery

Reducing infection risk during orthopaedic surgery depends critically on antibiotic prophylactic treatment. Deciding which antibiotics to use and

how much to administer should take into account the type of operation to be carried out, the patient's particular situation, and the regional occurrence of antibiotic resistance. Not only does careful antibiotic administration help to prevent superbug infections, but it also reduces the possibility of drug resistance and side effects.

A. Popular Antibiotics List with Doses for Different Orthopaedic Operations

Mostly used for orthopaedic prophylaxis, cefazolin[25] is the antibiotic used in this regard. One of the bacteria most likely causing SSIs, Staphylococcus aureus, is well guarded against this molecule. Usually, one dose of 1-2 g administered intravenously within 30 minutes after the surgical incision comprised the normal dosage. Should the operation take a long period, you might wish to administer the patient an additional dose every four hours.

For people who are allergic to penicillin or cephalosporin antibiotics, clindamycin[26] is a great substitute. An intravenous dosage of 600–900 mg is sometimes administered in the first half hour of surgery.

Vancomycin should not be taken by persons who are at great risk for MRSA or those with known or suspected infections caused by methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus[27]. Usually, the 15 mg/kg dosage is given intravenously one to two hours before the surgery.

Cefazolin may be utilised in several surgical operations, including total joint arthroplasty[28], together with aminoglycosides including gentamicin. Usually administered 30 minutes before the incision, the intravenous dose is between 1 and 2 mg/kg.

Patients having surgeries affecting the lower extremities or in cases where additional gram-negative coverage is required may be prescribed cefuroxime[29]. Usually, the dosage was 1.5 g given intravenously thirty minutes before operation.

Ciprofloxacin may be utilised in several circumstances, including those when there is a high risk of gram-negative germs[30]. Ciprofloxacin may also be administered in cases of a notable likelihood of gram-positive bacterial infection. Usually the intravenous dosage is 400 mg.

Emphasise the fast introduction of prophylactic antibiotics before beginning the surgical incision. If given at the ideal timing, antibiotic doses in tissue at the moment of incision are most efficient[30,31]. Usually confined to the intraoperative and early postoperative periods, shorter than 24 hours, antibiotic prophylaxis should reduce the possibility of bacterial resistance to antibiotics and side effects[29,30].

Depending on criteria like the type of orthopaedic operation being done, the patient's unique risk factors, and the local prevalence of antibiotic resistance, guidance and recommendations may differ. Orthopaedic surgeons should thus seek advice from infectious disease experts or follow the policies set by their institutions to ensure that the antibiotics chosen and dosages given fit every patient.

2.5 Value of timing and Length of Antibiotic Prophylactic Treatment in Orthopaedic Surgery

Avoiding SSIs depends critically on antibiotic prophylaxis, mostly related to timing and duration. Antibiotic prophylaxis, in which antibiotics are administered to a patient prior to surgery to guard against infection[32], is If given at the right moment and for the right duration, antibiotic prophylaxis is quite successful in stopping the evolution of antibiotic resistance. The following are the most important factors in orthopaedic surgery regarding whether and for what duration antibiotic prophylaxis should be used:

A. Timing of Operation Events

Ideally within 30 to 60 minutes, the patient should get antibiotics before the incision is done during surgery. This timing ensures that the bloodstream will have an appropriate concentration of the medication all during the surgical operation. If antibiotics are given too early, this could lead to fewer than ideal medicine levels during surgery; on the other hand, if

they are given too late, this could cause insufficient protection[33–35].

B. Dosing Throughout Operations

After some orthopaedic operations, especially those involving a significant quantity of blood loss or spanning a long period of time, extra antibiotic doses may be required to preserve effective drug levels. These intraoperative dosages have to be given with regard for the pharmacokinetics of the antibiotic as well as the length of the operation [36].

C. Dosing for Post-Surgery

A postoperative dosage may be needed if the operation takes a lengthy time or if infection is highly likely. Conversely, postoperative supplementation is not advised in line with most orthopaedic operations. Usually discouraged as a practice is extended prophylaxis since it can result in unnecessary antibiotic exposure and the evolution of antibiotic resistance[37].

D. The Time

Usually lasting no more than 24 hours, antibiotic prophylactic treatments are given following surgical operations. If preventive antibiotics are administered over an extended amount of time, the likelihood of acquiring an antibiotic-resistant disease could rise. Under some conditions, such when the patient is having joint replacement surgery, the prophylactic period may be extended for an additional 24 to 48 hours depending on the exact recommendations that are followed and the risk factors linked with the patient[33,36].

E. Factors of Risk for Patients

Many patient-specific factors could affect the antibiotics used, the course of treatment, and the dosage regimen. Patients who are more at risk-such as those with diabetes, obesity, or a compromised immune system-may require longer-term administration of preventive measures[31–37].

F. Rules and Suggested Strategies

Often produced by surgical associations and infectious disease specialists are the guidelines and best practices for antibiotic prophylaxis. Orthopaedic surgeons have to follow these recommendations and best practices. Based on the most current data, these recommendations have been created with the objective of enhancing patient outcomes and concurrently lowering the possibility of antibiotic resistance[15–26].

Two of the most important factors in reducing the incidence of SSIs following orthopaedic surgery are timing and length of antibiotic prophylactic treatment. Antibiotics have to be timed for best therapeutic dosage during surgery. Conversely, cutting the duration of antibiotic prophylactic treatment can help to reduce antibiotic resistance risk. Orthopaedic surgeons and other healthcare professionals should follow the advised guidelines and consider the particular situation of the patient when selecting whether and for how long to start antibiotics[31–37].

2.6 The Efficiency of Antibiotic Prophylaxis

A. Preventive Antibiotics Show Promise in Helping to Prevent Orthopaedic Surgery Infections

Preventing SSIs in orthopaedic surgery depends critically on the use of prophylactic antibiotics. Severe consequences from these infections could be a failing implant, a longer hospital stay, and higher medical expenses. The great body of data showing the importance of antimicrobial prophylaxis in orthopaedic surgery[38] is discussed in this paper.

B. Accurate Historical Data

Antibiotics have long been used as prophylactics before surgery. Historical data shows that the use of preventative antibiotic regimens in orthopaedic operations clearly results in a declining number of SSIs[8-21]. These first results create the groundwork for its further application by establishing its premise.

C. Suggestions and Directions

Before orthopaedic surgery, several groups—including the WHO, the CDC, and the AAOS—have argued for the use of preventive antibiotics[21–24]. These suggestions, which underline the applicability

of this method, are informed by the agreement of this group of professionals and the findings of thorough investigation.

Many clinical trials and observational studies have demonstrated that preventive antibiotics help to lower the risk of SSIs following orthopaedic surgery. Classen et al.[8] performed a meta-analysis showing that 81% less SSIs occurred from preventative antibiotic usage. These findings underline the need of antibiotics as a means of infection prevention. Furthermore, much research has demonstrated the need for selecting the appropriate antibiotics. In past studies, prophylactic antibiotics with sufficient tissue penetration and pathogen coverage have demonstrated to be rather successful[9–18]. Usually, an antibiotic preoperative dose is prescribed to be administered within a specified period before incision. The timing of this drug is equally crucial.

Apart from their clinical benefits, antibiotics administered as a preventive measure have shown to be cost-effective[36,37]. Usually requiring additional surgical operations, SSIs lead patients to be hospitalised for a notable period of time. Avoiding these repercussions helps to reduce the cost of medical treatment by means of preventive antibiotics, which are a major factor in the modern framework of the healthcare sector.

The main objective of orthopaedic surgery is to raise the general quality of life of a patient[35,38]. By reducing the possibility of postoperative infections, which are known to be connected with incapacitating complications and unfavourable outcomes, the use of antibiotics as a preventative measure helps reach this objective. Antibacterial prophylactic measures raise the patient's chances of a complete recovery.

Though the advantages of utilising antibiotics for prophylactic purposes are clear-cut, antibiotic stewardship is still extremely vital[33-34,37-38]. When antibiotics are not needed, choose medications wisely, and follow closely to dosage guidelines to cut the use of antibiotics. This approach helps to lower the chance of antibiotic resistance, a growing problem for the health of people all throughout the world.

The evidence in favour of the value of prophylactic antibiotics in orthopaedic surgery is really strong and convincing, according to the conclusion. Time and time again, antibiotic prophylaxis has shown to be effective in reducing SSIs, enhancing patient outcomes, and thereby saving healthcare expenses. To ensure the ongoing effectiveness of antimicrobial treatments in orthopaedic surgery, healthcare professionals must keep following accepted policies and practices in charge of antibiotic stewardship. These guidelines and methods were created to lower the antibiotic resistance risk.

D. Research Demonstrating Reduced SSIs Using Antibiotic Prophylactic Orthopaedic Surgery

Classen et al. investigated in the New England Journal of Medicine the effect of antibiotic prophylaxis on postoperative infections in orthopaedic surgery[8]. The study underlined the significance of giving antibiotics at the correct moment before surgery since the frequency of infection at the surgical site was considerably reduced when prophylactic antibiotics were given before orthopaedic operations. Bratzler and Houck's study included best practices for giving antibiotic prophylaxis following several surgical operations, including orthopaedic surgery[9]. This emphasises the need of choosing the right antibiotics, timing their application, and preserving prophylactic measures for the required length of time to lower the SSI risk. Although not a direct study on antibiotic prophylaxis, this work by Sousa et al. highlights the need of identifying and treating asymptomatic bacteriuria (ASB) prior to orthopaedic operations[10]. While this study was not a direct investigation of antibiotic prophylaxis. One could consider the treatment of ASB as a kind of antibiotic prophylactic measure to reduce SSI probability. De Beer and colleagues mainly concentrated on total knee replacement operations and found that antibacterial prophylactic treatment helped to reduce the number of SSIs[11]. This emphasises the need of selecting appropriate antibiotics depending on

the local patterns of bacterial resistance. Using information gleaned from a joint replacement registry, Pamilo et al. examined SSIs following knee arthroplasty[12]. This emphasises the risk factors for SSI and the need of infection prevention campaigns, both of which help to justify the indirect application of antibiotic prophylaxis. In the studies by Bryson et al., which were written up in the Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery, we looked at the value of antibiotic prophylaxis before elective foot and ankle surgery[4]. They found that using the correct preventative antibiotics drastically reduced SSI rates. Research by Suratwala and colleagues[16] focused on whether antibiotic prophylaxis would lower the risk of SSIs following total hip and knee arthroplasty. The results showed a relationship between less cases of SSI and effective prevention. Giles et al. used National Surgical Quality Improvement Program (NSQIP)[17] data to ascertain whether or not prophylactic antibiotics lower the risk of SSI following orthopaedic surgery. Giving prophylactic antibiotics before surgery caused the rate of SSIs to reduce, according to the study. To investigate the effectiveness of antibiotic prophylaxis in lowering the incidence of SSI in patients following spine surgery, Tang et al. undertook an extensive review and meta-analysis[18]. Researchers discovered post-experiment preventive antibiotics helped lower SSI rates. Hawn et al. investigated the ideal antibiotic prophylactic timing for several surgical operations[13]. When antibiotics were given at the ideal time—usually within 60 minutes before incision—the incidence of SSIs was shown to be much lower. Though not specifically focusing on orthopaedic surgery, Rodríguez-Caravaca et al.'s emphasis on the need of hospital-wide antibiotic control systems was remarkable[6]. These programs can help to ensure the best practices for antibiotic prophylaxis and lower the frequency of SSI in many surgical environments. Including antibiotic prophylactic advice, this Mangram et al. guideline offers recommendations for the prevention of SSIs[19]. In surgical practice, it is rather well known. Cojutti et al.[20] investigated how time and antibiotic choice affected SSIs that arose following joint arthroplasty. They came to the conclusion that choosing the suitable antibiotic and applying it at the right moment helps to lower SSIs.

Although these studies revealed that antibiotic prophylaxis can significantly lower SSIs during orthopaedic surgery, it is crucial to keep in mind that the type of antibiotic used, when it is given, the patient's characteristics, and how strictly infection control policies are followed will all affect the efficacy of prophylactic action. Clinical guidelines and practices change with time; thus, it is important to review the most recent studies and recommendations to obtain the most current knowledge available.

2.7 Examining the Effects on Surgical Results related to Antibiotic Prophylactic use

Because antibiotic prophylaxis [36–38] significantly affects the outcomes of surgical operations, a lot of study has been conducted on it. The basic features of this approach are described below. Mostly aimed at reducing the occurrence of SSIs, antibiotic prophylactic treatment is given. One of the most common complications following surgery is an infection at the incision site. Extended hospital stays, higher medical bills, and even mortality have all been linked to these illnesses. The prevalence of SSIs may be greatly reduced with antibiotic prophylaxis, as has been proven time and again in scientific studies.

A. The Antibiotics Selection

Antibiotics used for prophylaxis must be chosen with great caution [39-40]. It needs to be based on a particular technique, the bacteria that are typically related with surgery, and the antibiotic resistance patterns that are prevalent in the location. Antibiotics having a wide spectrum of activity are commonly administered for the treatment of a diverse variety of potential infectious pathogens. On the other hand, abuse of antibiotics may potentially contribute to the development of antibiotic resistance. As a result, it is vital to balance antibiotic effectiveness and utilise them wisely.

B. When to Administer It and How to Do It

It is vital to deliver antibiotics at the most appropriate moment. In most circumstances, antibiotics have to be supplied a short period before surgical incisions to assure that therapeutic doses are accessible in the tissues at the time that potential bacterial exposure takes place. The "golden hour" strategy is definitely important to obtain the highest potential level of preventative effectiveness[41].

C. The Length of Time

Most of the time, preventive antibiotics should be discontinued 24 hours after surgery [36-37,42]. This is so because continuous usage of antibiotics could increase the chance of antibiotic resistance without benefiting the patient any more.

D. Factors of Possible Damage and Patient Selection

Antibiotic prophylaxis is not necessary for some surgical treatments[39]. Individual patient risk factors must be evaluated since they might raise SSI incidence. Among these risk factors include diabetes, obesity, smoking and immunosuppression. Those who are regarded to be high risk patients are the ones most likely to get prophylactic[36]. Antibiotics have been demonstrated to help microorganisms resistant to them evolve even when they are administered as preventive agents. This makes careful use of antibiotics, following recommendations, and tracking local trends of resistance vitally vital. Unintentional outcomes from antibiotics are well recognized; the most common ones are gastrointestinal problems and allergic reactions. Choosing proper antibiotics and evaluating the patient's sensitivity and intolerances helps one to lower these risks as much as feasible.

E. Effectiveness in Terms of Expenses

Antibiotic prophylaxis usually results in a decrease in long-term healthcare costs by lowering the incidence of SSIs, which are known to contribute to prolonged hospital admissions and further procedures; nevertheless, drug charges may cause a rise in short-term healthcare costs[38].

Finally, as it significantly reduces the common and often occurring risk of SSIs, which can cause serious difficulties after surgical operations, antibiotic prophylactic treatment is an indispensable part of current surgical practice. Still, it should be used wisely, paying close attention to patient factors, the timing of antibiotic distribution, and the antibiotic selection. Never does maintaining a good balance between the risks of antibiotic resistance and the benefits of infection control go away. To maximise the advantages of surgical operations and minimise any side effects at the same time, healthcare professionals must thus keep current with the most recent studies and recommendations.

2.8 Issues and Conflicts

A. Defining Antibiotic Resistance and Its Consequences

Antibiotic resistance[43] is the resistance to antibiotics, medications used to either kill or slow down the proliferation of bacteria and other microbes. Treating several kinds of bacterial diseases with antibiotics is standard procedure. The development of bacteria's defence systems against antibiotics causes this resistance since the drugs become worthless[44,45]. Affecting several medical disciplines, including orthopaedic surgery, antibiotic resistance is a global issue. Everywhere, public health is threatened by it. The consequences of antibiotic resistance on orthopaedic surgery will be covered in this paper.

B. Systems Underlying Antimicrobial Resistance

Bacteria can create alterations in their genetic material that let them survive following antibiotic exposure[46,47].

Through processes of conjugation, transformation, and transduction, bacteria can acquire resistance genes from other bacteria, even those of other species[48].

Antibiotics can be eliminated by bacteria before they even have an opportunity to work. Antibiotics could be futile since some bacteria create enzymes that neutralise their effects[49,50].

C. Results for Orthopaedic Surgery

Before orthopaedic surgery, antibiotics are usually prescribed preventatively to stop postoperative infections from developing[51-54]. But a drawback of antibiotic resistance is that it reduces the efficacy of these prophylactic actions. Joint replacements and spinal fusions are among orthopaedic surgeries that could expose microorganisms into the body and subsequently lead to postoperative infections[52,53]. If the microorganisms causing the infections arising during surgery are drug-resistant, treatment can prove difficult. After undergoing orthopaedic surgery, infections caused by antibiotics-resistant bacteria might prolong hospital stays for patients, increasing the likelihood of difficulties and hence the cost of healthcare[54]. Antibacterial resistant infections can limit the availability of medications for treatment, so requiring the use of perhaps more potent, costly, and dangerous treatments. In cases of particularly severe illnesses, there is a higher risk of mortality when antibiotics' resistance prevents effective treatment of the infections.

D. Preventing and Reducing

Orthopaedic surgeons and hospitals should follow antibiotic stewardship initiatives to ensure the appropriate use of antibiotics and to reduce the risk of antibiotic resistance[55,56].

Strict infection control practices can help stop the emergence of antibiotic-resistant bacteria as well as their spread in hospital operating rooms and other environments as well as elsewhere in the healthcare sector. Patients have to be informed of the need of completing the courses of antibiotic treatment advised to prevent the emergence of antibiotic resistance[57]. Research and development of new medications and alternative therapies must be ongoing if one wants to effectively combat antibiotic resistance.

Finally, the emergence of antibiotic resistance worries orthopaedic surgery and other spheres of medicine more and more. This may lead to more difficult to cure postoperative infections, higher healthcare costs, and possibly fatal outcomes. By means of a comprehensive approach comprising responsible antibiotic usage, infection control strategies, and ongoing research and development of new drugs, one can avoid and solve antibiotic resistance. This strategy is required to guarantee patient safety and the continuous efficacy of orthopaedic treatments.

2.9 Talking about possible hazards connected to antibiotic prophylactic measures and methods to reduce

A common practice in the medical field, antibiotic prophylaxis—often referred to as antibiotic use—helps to prevent infection. It is used in several medical conditions [58,59] as well as right before dental or surgical operations. Although antibiotic prophylactic measures have great efficiency in preventing infections, they also run the risk of having negative effects. Here we will go over these hazards and the steps one may take to minimise them.

A. Prospective Hazards

Inappropriate or too strong usage of antibiotics can lead to the development of bacterial resistance to antibiotic therapy. When antibiotics are given when they are not absolutely required, microorganisms can develop resistance to them, which complicates future disease treatment[60,61]. From somewhat mild rashes to perhaps catastrophic anaphylaxis, antibiotics have been connected to several allergic responses. Higher occurrence of these side effects[62] is linked with ongoing antibiotic use.

Antibiotics have the ability to throw off the delicate bacterial equilibrium that exists inside the body, causing gastrointestinal discomfort, fungal infections (such as candidiasis), and even possible long-term health issues resulting from the change of the microbiome. "Superinfections"[63–65] are another name for illnesses resistant to drugs. Especially in immunocompromised persons, antibiotics have been associated with a higher risk of opportunistic infections.

B. Techniques for Reduced Risk

Treatment with preventive antibiotics should only be applied when it offers more benefits than any possible danger. Considering all feasible mitigating measures,

including better sanitation and other preventative actions, helps one to estimate the probability of infection for every specific patient[66].

Optimal antibiotics are those with a narrower spectrum since they target the particular germs most likely to bring about an infection[67]. This often lessens its effect on the microbiota and raises the possibility of resistance growing.

Antibiotics should be given at the appropriate dose and for the shortest period of time that is practical[66–71]. Longer treatment schedules and higher dosages help to raise the probability of medication resistance and associated side effects[68]. Medical staff members should find out whether a patient is allergic to antibiotics and note this before giving them. Antibiotics might interact unfavourably with one another; yet, a good knowledge of the patient's medical history can help to prevent major allergic reactions[69]. Patients have to be informed of the need of closely following the advised antibiotic treatment plan and the consequences of not doing so. Patients should also be advised to notify any side effects as soon as they start.

Healthcare facilities should set up reporting and surveillance systems to track antibiotic use and trends of resistance[70,71]. This information can guide antibiotic selection and support the discovery of recently developing resistance. Hospitals and other healthcare facilities should start antibiotic stewardship initiatives to promote the appropriate use of antibiotics, reduce the number of erroneous prescriptions, and inform staff members on the risks related with the abuse of antibiotics. Apart from antibiotic prophylaxis, healthcare professionals should give infection control strategies top attention to stop illness spread. Among these infection control strategies include environmental cleanliness, aseptic techniques, and good hand washing. Potential substitutes for antibiotics in the battle against infectious diseases are the research of the use of vaccines, better surgical techniques, and other infection control technologies.

2.10 Justification of Antibiotic Prophylaxis in Orthopaedic Treatment

For a number of reasons, including preservation of patient safety and enhancement of surgical operation outcomes, antibiotic prophylaxis is justified in orthopaedic treatments. The most crucial ones are reducing the likelihood of an infection: foreign objects like screws or joint prosthesis could find their way into the patient during orthopaedic procedures. Should the condition proliferate, these implants could provide a haven for bacteria. Antibiotic prophylaxis lowers the bacteria present during surgery, lowering the possibility of the surgical site becoming infected. In the worst of circumstances, untreated SSI can lead to major consequences including deep tissue infections, abscesses, and even sepsis. Under extreme circumstances, these elements could cause a protracted hospital stay, more surgeries, a lifetime of disability, or perhaps death. A preventive action utilised to avoid the possibly catastrophic effects of the infection is antibiotic prophylactic treatment. Improving surgical recovery infections could slow down wound healing, extend the length of the recovery process, and aggravate pain. By lowering their risk of infection, antibiotic prophylactic treatment can enable patients to resume normal activities following surgery sooner.

A. Medical Expensive Saving Strategies

Since SSIs may call for extended hospital stays, extra procedures, and long-term antibiotic medications, their treatment can be costly. Conversely, antibiotic prophylactic treatment is a cheap option since it could significantly reduce the overall cost of orthopaedic operations.

B. Standard Phrases for Observation

Several healthcare institutions and regulatory organisations, including the WHO and the CDC, have developed guidelines endorsing antibiotic prophylactic measures in particular orthopaedic operations. Following these values helps the medical personnel to deliver their patients with the best possible quality of treatment.

C. Antibiotic Resistance Prevention

Single-dose prophylaxis can help reduce the likelihood that bacteria will acquire resistance to antibiotics even in circumstances when surgery calls for the usage of antibiotics. The general population is seriously threatened by the development of antibiotic-resistant microorganisms since both overuse and misuse of antibiotics lead to problems. Depending on the specific needs of the patient and any known allergies or sensitivity the patient may have, antibiotics for prophylaxis could be selected for that patient. This adaptation guarantees that patients get the necessary prophylactic treatment and reduces the possibility of negative effects. Antibiotic prophylaxis in orthopaedic surgery is therefore a tried-and-true approach meant to avoid SSIs, limit complications, enhance patient outcomes, and save costs related with medical treatment. This is a fundamental part of the surgical treatment given nowadays, and the application of it is directed by evidence-based policies.

3. Final Thoughts/ Conclusion

In summary, in many different medical environments antibiotic prophylaxis is absolutely important in avoiding infections. Still, it has to be given prudence and attention to be successful. With a major focus on antibiotic stewardship and infection control measures, antibiotic prophylaxis calls for the use of a multifarious strategy comprising not only patients but also healthcare practitioners and institutions. This helps to lower possible negative effects of antibiotic prophylactic measures.

Conflict of Interest: None

References

1. Are self-reported anthropometric data sufficient to satisfy antibiotic prophylactic guidelines in orthopaedic surgery, however? Bouché PA, Aubert T, Mouton A, Marion B, Marmor S: Orthop Traumatol Surg Res., 103627, 2023 10.1016/j.otosr.2023.103627
2. Effect of pharmacist involvement on antibiotic prophylaxis in orthopaedic internal fixation: a retrospective analysis Zhou X, Gong J, Su D, et al. Social Administration Pharmacy 2023, 19: 301–7. 10.1016/j.sapharm. 20211.10.002
3. Krasin E, Warschawski Y, Morgan S, Dekel M: Antibacterial prophylactic treatment in orthopaedic surgery; is time to review the present practice? Orthop J. 2022: 32:68-71. 10.1016/j.or.2022.05.008
4. Antibiotic prophylactic treatment in orthopaedic surgery: challenging choices in an era of changing antibiotic resistance Bryson DJ, Morris DL, Shivji FS, Rollins KR, Snape S, Ollivere BJ. Joints in Bones J. 2016, 98-B: 1014–19. Ten.1302/0301-620X.98B8.37359
5. Dhammi IK, Ul Haq R, Kumar S: Controversial problems in the use of preventive antibiotics in orthopaedic surgery. J Orthop from India 2015, 49:424-6. 10.4103/0019-5413.159506
6. Adequate assessment of antimicrobial prophylactic treatment in orthopaedic and traumatologic surgery (Article in Spanish). Rodríguez-Caravaca G, Santana-Ramírez S, Villar-Del-Campo MC, Martín-López R, Martínez-Martín J, Gil-de-Miguel A Microbial Infecc Microbiol Clin. 2010, 28:17-20. Ten years1016/j.eimc.2008.11.011
7. Rational antibacterial prophylactic measures in trauma surgery and orthopaedic practice: Amaefule KE, Dahiru IL 2013, Arch Int Surg., 3:87. 10.4103/2278-9px24
8. Classen DC, Evans RS, Pestotnik SL, Horn SD, Menlove RL, Burke JP: The risk of surgical-wound infection and the timing of antibiotic preventive treatment N Engl J Med 1992, 326:281-6. 10.1056/NEJM 199 2013032
9. An advising statement from the National Surgical Infection Prevention Project on antimicrobial prophylactic strategies for surgery Bratzler DW, Houck PM. Clin infectious disease. 2004 - 38:1706-15. 10.1086/421095.
10. Is asymptomatic bacteriuria a risk factor for prosthetic joint infection? Sousa R., Muñoz-Mahamud E., Quayle J., et al. Infectious Diseases Clinics 2014: 59.41–7. Ten.1093/cid/ciu235

11. Survey of Canadian orthopaedic doctors reveals antibiotic prophylactic results for total joint replacement surgery. de Beer J, Petruccelli D, Rotstein C, Weaning B, Royston K, Winemaker M. 2009, 52: E229-34, Can J Surg.
12. Rapid increase in knee replacements' frequency and declining revision load over past three decades in Finland: a register-based study by Pamilo KJ, Haapakoski J, Sokka-Isler T, Remes V, Paloneva J. Agent Orthop. 2021: 93:382-9. Ten-2340/17453674.2022.2266
13. Timing of postoperative antibiotic prophylactic treatment and the risk of surgical site infection: Hawn MT, Richman JS, Vick CC, Deierhoi RJ, Graham LA, Henderson WG, Itani KM Journal of American Surgeons 2013, 148:649–57. 10.502/jamasurg.2013.134
14. Ueda T, Takesue Y, Nakajima K, et al.: Correlation between antimicrobial resistance and the hospital-wide varied use of broad-spectrum antibiotics by the antimicrobial stewardship program in Japan. Pharmacaceutics. 2023, 15:502. 10.3390/pharmaceutics150202
15. Impact of an antibiotic stewardship program on antibiotic use, bacterial susceptibilities, and cost of antibiotics, Aiesh BM, Nazzal MA, Abdelhaq AI, Abutaha SA, Zyoud SH, Sabateen A 13:5040, Sci Rep. 2023. 10.1038/s41598-023-32329-6
16. Cost-effectiveness and clinical usefulness of universal pre-admission MRSA screening in total joint arthroplasty patients: Suratwala S, Kommareddy D, Duvvuri P, Woltmann J, Segal A, Krauss E. J Hosp Infect. 2023, 138:27-33. 10.1016/j.hin.2023.05.012
17. Body mass index: surgical site infections and mortality following lower extremity bypass from the National Surgical Quality Improvement Program 2005–2007 Giles KA, Hamdan AD, Pomposelli FB, Wyers MC, Siracuse JJ, Schermerhorn ML. Ann Vasc Surgical 2010; 24:48–56. 10.1016/j.avsg. 2009.05.003
18. A meta-analysis of nasal decolonization of Staphylococcus aureus and the risk of surgical site infection following surgery Tang J, Hui J, Ma J, Mingquan C. Ann Clin Microbium Antimicrobial. 2020, 19:33 10.1186/ s12941-020-00376-w
19. 1999 Guideline for Prevention of Surgical Site Infection: Mangram AJ, Horan TC, Pearson ML, Silver LC, Jarvis WR. Hospital Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee of Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Am J infection control. 1999: 27:97–132.
20. Results following the first year of deployment show the impact of a recently developed expert clinical pharmacological advising program based on therapeutic drug monitoring results in tailoring antimicrobial therapy hospital-wide in a tertiary university hospital. Int J Antimicrobial Agents. 62:106884 in 2023 Ten-1016/j.ijantimicag.2023.106884
21. American Orthopaedic Surgeons Association. (1995–2015). accessed: July 17, 2023: https://www.aaos.org/.
22. America's Infection Diseases Society. 2023). July 16, 2023: https://www.idsociety.org/.
23. Rosenberger LH, Politano AD, Sawyer RG: Prevention of post-operative infection—including surgical site infection—and enhancement of surgical care project Larchmt, Surgical Infection. 2011; 12:163-8. 10.1089/sur 2010.083
24. CDC centres for disease control and prevention. The year 2022. June 15, 2023: https://www.cdc.gov/infectioncontrol/guidelines/index.html.
25. A tertiary hospital experience: incidence of surgical site infection despite preoperative cefazolin treatment in total knee arthroplasty patients, Mikwar Z, AlRajhi B, Saimaldaher BW, Al-Magrabi A, Khoja A, Abushouk A. Healus. 2023, 15:e43912. 10.7759 / cureus.43912
26. No difference in risk of revision owing to infection between clindamycin and cephalosporins as antibiotic prophylactic treatment in cemented primary total knee replacements: a study from the Norwegian arthroplasty record 2005-2020. Action Orthop. 2024, 94:404–9. 10.2340/20174536.2023.16907

27. Dosage, efficacy, and safety of intra-articular vancomycin for prophylactic therapy of periprosthetic joint infection caused by methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus following total knee arthroplasty in a rat model Wei J, Tong K, Wang H, Wen Y, Chen L Agents of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy. 66:e0164121, 2022 Tenth: 1128/AAC.01641-21
28. Does addition of gentamicin for antibiotic prophylactic treatment in total knee arthroplasty lower the rate of periprosthetic joint infection? Ashkenazi I, Amzallag N, Snir N, et al. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg., 2023, 143:5255-60. 10.1007/s00402-2253-3
29. Weight-based cefuroxime dose offers equivalent orthopaedic target tissue concentrations between weight groups - a microdialysis porcine research, Tøstesen SK, Hanberg P, Bue M, et al. APMIS stands for: 130:111-18 in 2022. 10.511/apm.13198
30. Early surgical site infections in patients receiving orthopaedic oncological resections following modification in preoperative antibiotic selection: a pre-post intervention research Lee B, Morrison A, Baluch A, et al. PCorM. 2023, 22:101331. 10.1016/j.pcorm.2023.1011
31. From systematic review to operative technique, antibiotic prophylactic measures in plastic surgery are discussed by Brambullo T, Biffoli B, Scortecci L, Messana F, Vindigni V, Bassetto F. World J Plast Surg. 2022, 11:24–36. 10.52547/wjps.11.2.24
32. A meta-analysis and GRADE advise Wolfhagen N, Boldingh QJ, de Lange M, Boermeester MA, de Jonge SW: Intraoperative redosing of surgical antibiotic prophylaxis in addition to preoperative prophylactic against single-dose prophylaxis for the prevention of surgical site infection. Ann Surg. 2022; 275:1050–7. 10.1097/SLA.0000000000054
33. Dose optimization in surgical prophylaxis: sub-inhibitory dosing of vancomycin raises rates of biofilm development and the rates of surgical site infection. Sci Rep. 2023, 13:4593. 10.1038/-s41598-023-3051-y
34. Orthopaedic surgical antibiotic prophylactic administration compliance with prescribing guidelines in a private hospital in North West province, South Africa: Jordaan M, du Plessis J, Rakumakoe D, et al. SAorthop J. 2023; 22:86–90. 10.17159 / 2309-8309 / 2023 / v22n2a4
35. Effect of antimicrobial prophylactic duration on health care-associated infections after clean orthopaedic surgery: a cluster randomised study Nagata K, Yamada K, Shinozaki T, et al. JAMA Network Open 5.e226095, 2022. 10.105/jamanetworkopen.2022.6095
36. Importance of surgical antibiotic prophylaxis to eradicate the risk of surgical site infections: Afroz S, Tabassum TL, Rizwan M, et al. Eur Chem Bull. 2023, December 12, 11063-73.
37. Barriers to appropriate surgical antimicrobial prophylaxis for methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus-colonised patients at an Australian tertiary teaching hospital: Sherkat Masoum M, Oorschot S, Roles B, Italiano C. Surgical Infection (Larchmont). Twenty-23, 24:158–62. 10.1089/sur.338.338.338
38. Lipson S, Pagani NR, Moverman MA, Puzzitiello RN, Menendez ME, Smith EL: The cost-effectiveness of prolonged oral antibiotic prophylaxis for infection prevention following total joint arthroplasty in high-risk patients. J. Arthroplasty is 37:1961–6 in 2022 10.1016/j.arth.2022.04.025.
39. Prevalence, risk factors and microbiological profile of orthopaedic surgery site infection in north-eastern Peninsular Malaysia: Chua WC, Rahman SA, Deris ZZ. Malaysian Orthop J. 2022: 16:04-103. 10.5704/MOJ.211.015
40. Alsaeed OM, Bukhari AA, Alshehri AA, Al Sumairi FA, Alnami AM, El Sheikh HA: Retroactive analysis of two government hospitals in Taif, Saudi Arabia: antibiotic use for the prevention of surgical site infections. Curus. 14:e26731, 2022. 10.7759 / cureus.26731
41. Strategies to avoid surgical site infections in acute-care hospitals: 2022 update Calderwood

MS, Anderson DJ, Bratzler DW, et al. Hospital Epidemic Control: 2023: 44:695-720. 10.1017/-ice.2023.67

42. Kullar R, Chisari E, Snyder J, Cooper C, Parvizi J, Sniffen J: Targeted antibiotic treatment for culture negative orthopaedic infections is supported by next-generation sequencing. Clin Infectious Disease. 76:359-64 in 2023 10.1093/cid/ciacuntu
43. The burden of bacterial antimicrobial resistance in the WHO European area in 2019: a cross-country systematic analysis Mestrovic T, Aguilar GR, Swetschinski LR, et al. Public Health: Lungscape 2022, 7:e897-913. Ten1016/S2468-2667 (22)00225-0
44. Good bacteria, bad genes? Crits-Christoph A, Hallowell HA, Koutrouvelis K, Suez J. Antimicrobial resistance distribution in the human microbiome. Microbes in Gut Systems 14:2055944 in 2022 10.1080/19490976.205544
45. Antimicrobial resistance in the food chain: trends, mechanisms, routes, and prospective control options Samtiya M, Matthews KR, Dhewa T, Puniya AK. Ingredients. 2022, 11:2966. 10.3390/foods11192966
46. Trubenová B, Roizman D, Motor A, Rolff J, Regoes RR: Population genetics, biofilm recalcitrance, and antibiotic resistance evolution. Microbios Trends 2022: 30:841-52. 10.1016/j.tim. 2022.02.005
47. Evolution under low antibiotic concentrations: a concern for the selection of Pseudomonas aeruginosa multidrug-resistant mutants in nature. Sanz-García F, Hernando-Amado S, Martínez JL. Environmental microbiology. 2022, 24:1279–93. 10.1111/1462-2920.15806
48. Michaelis C, Grohmann E: Antibacterial resistance genes transferred horizontally in biofilms. Antibiotics (Basel). 2023, 12.10.3390/antibiotics120203
49. Multidrug efflux pumps mediated bacterial resistance against antimicrobial ionic liquids. Gundolf T, Kalb R, Rossmanith P, Master P. Microbium front-on 13:893931, 2022. 10.3389 / fmicb.2022.883931
50. Barnabas V, Kashyap A, Raja R, NewarK, Rai D, Dixit NM, Mehra S: The degree of antimicrobial resistance brought about by efflux pump control. ACS Infection Diseases. 8:2374-88 for 2022, 8:2374-88 10.1021 /acsinfecdis.2c00460
51. Pathogenic medication resistant fungi: a review of mitigating techniques Garvey M, Rowan NJ INT J Mol Sci. 2023, 24:1584. 10.3390/ijms240215
52. Shimizu T, Fujibayashi S, Takemoto M, et al.: Reoperations within 30 days following spine surgery: a multi-center study Euro Spine J. 2016; 25.828–35. 10.507/s00586-015-4113-9
53. Strategies aiming at avoiding long-term opioid usage in trauma and orthopaedic surgery: a scoping review Côté C, Bérubé M, Moore L, et al. BMC Musculoskelet Disord. 23:238 in 2022. 10.1186/s12891- Blazers-05044-y
54. Enhanced recovery following surgery: opioid sparing techniques after discharge: a review by Rajput K, Shergill S, Chow RM, Vadivelu N, Kaye AD. Curr Pain Headache Rep. 2022, 26:93–102 10.1007/s11916-222-01009-x
55. Results and implications of an evaluation of healthcare students' knowledge on antibiotic usage, antimicrobial resistance and antimicrobial stewardship programs and related issues in a tertiary university in Ghana, Sefah IA, Akwaboah E, Sarkodie E, Godman B, Meyer JC. Basel antibiotics are antibacterial. 11:1679 for 2022 10.3390/antibiotics11121679
56. Impact of antimicrobial stewardship program on antibiotic-resistance and prescription in nursing homes: a comprehensive review and meta-analysis by Tandan M, Thapa P, Maharjan P, Bhandari B. J Global Antimicrobial Resistance. 29:74-87 for 2022. 10.1016/j.gar.2022.02.002
57. Timing of complications following surgery for elderly hip fractures: Malik AT, Quatman CE, Pfeiffer LS, Ly TV, Khan SN. J Clinical Trauma in Orthopaedics 2019, 10:904-11. 10.1016/j.jcot.2018.10.020
58. Dias P, Patel A, Rook W, Edwards MR,

Pearse RM, Abbott TE: Modern application of antimicrobial prophylactic measures for surgical patients: observational cohort study. J Anaesthesiol Europe. 39:533-9 for 2022 10.1097/EJA.000000000000 1619

59. Current ideas in prophylactic antibiotics in oral and maxillofacial surgery: Dammling C, Abramowicz S, Kinard B. North American Oral Maxillofac Surgical Clinues. 34:157–67 for 2022. 10.1016/j.coms. 2021.08.015
60. Microbial resistance to nanotechnologies: a crucial but understudied factor considering antimicrobial nanotechnologies in orthopaedic implants Wu Z, Chan B, Low J, Chu JJ, Hey HW, Tay A. Bioactivity Mater 2022: 16:249–70. Ten1016/j.bioactmat.2022.02.014
61. Anderson GM, Osorio C, Berns EM, et al.: Basic science concepts and justification for clinical usage of antibiotic cement use for the prophylactic and treatment of infections in spine surgery. J Clin Med, 11:3481, 2022. 10.3390/jcm11123401
62. Higuera CA: After surgery for periprosthetic joint infection, should primary total joint arthroplasty high-risk patients get prolonged postoperative oral antibiotics? J. Arthroplasty is 37:1441-2 in 2022 10.1016/j.arth.20211.12.011
63. A prospective observational cohort study by Liu F, Duan M, Fu H, et al.: Orthopaedic surgery promotes gut microbiome dysbiosis and intestinal barrier dysfunction in prodromal Alzheimer disease patients. Ann Surg. 2022; 276:270-80 10.1097/SLA.000000000000549
64. Long DR, Alverdy JC, Vavilala MS: The patient microbiota and antimicrobial resistance define new paradigms in the prevention of surgical site infection. Anaesthesia 137:252-62 in 2022 10.1097/ALN.00000000004267
65. Appropriateness of surgical antibiotic prophylaxis in a tertiary care teaching hospital in central India: a retrospective analysis by Guru Ramalingam MP, Keche YN, Gaikwad NR, et al. Currys. 10:15. 2023.
66. Kennedy DG, O'Mahony AM, Culligan EP, O'Driscoll CM, Ryan KB: Methods to manage and prevent orthopaedic device-associated infections. Antiques. 11:1822 2022 10.3390 / antibiotics 11121822
67. Zhao X, Tang H, Jiang X: Using gold nanoparticles to fight resistance to multiple drug bacteria. ACS Nanomaterials 16:10166-87 2022. 10.1021/acsnano.2c bluley
68. Mohamad F, Alzahrani RR, Alsaadi A, Alrefaei BM, Yassin AE, Alkhulaifi MM, Halwani M: An exploratory review on advanced strategies to overcome bacterial resistance by reducing bacterial biofilm development. Infective Drug Resistance 2023: 16:19–49. 10.2147/IDR.S38053
69. Analytical evaluation using a point-of- need platform for fast measurement of a host-protein score differentiating bacteria from viral infection: Heinrichson M, Avni N, Eden E, et al. Clin biochem. 117:39–47, 2023. 10.1016/j.clin biochemical.2022.04.012
70. Lai CK, Ng RW, Leung SS, Hui M, Ip M: An overview of overcoming the increasing prevalence and changing mechanisms of antibiotic resistance by new drug delivery methods. Adv Drug Deliv Rev., 181:114078, 2021 10.1016/j. addr. 2021.114078
71. Srivastava S: An outrage: micro organisational mechanism of antimicrobial resistance (AMR). Curr Biotechnol. 11:189–95 for 2022. 10.2174/22115501116621428 105504.

Cite this article Kumar U et al, Improving Surgical Results: An Essential Review of Orthopaedic Surgery Antibiotic Prophylactic Strategies. Indian Journal of Health Care, Medical & Pharmacy Practice.2024; 5(1) 167-182.